Jeans analysís of self-gravítatíng systems ín f(R)- gravíty

PRD 85, 044022 (2012)

Maríafelícía De Laurentís

In collaboration with

S. Capozzíello, I. De Martíno, M. Fromísano, S.D. Odíntsov,





KMI-IEEC Joint International Workshop, Nagoya, August 03, (2013).

Outlínes



Dust- domínated self-gravítating systems

The Newtonían límít of f(R)-gravíty

Jeans críteríon for gravítatíonal instability in f(R)-gravity

The Jeans mass límít ín f(R)-gravíty



×

Discussion and conclusions



Next steps



The collapse of self-gravitational collisionless systems can be dealt with the introduction of coupled collisionless Boltzmann and Poisson equations

A self-gravitating system at equilibrium is described by a time-independent distribution function $f_o(x, v)$ and a potential $\Phi_0(x)$ that are solutions of above equations

J. Bínney and S. Tremaíne, Galactíc Dynamics (Prínceton University Press, Prínceton, NJ, 1994).



Considering a small perturbation to this equilibrium: $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) = f_0(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) + \epsilon f_1(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t),$

$$\Phi(\vec{r},t) = \Phi_0(\vec{r}) + \epsilon \Phi_1(\vec{r},t),$$

Where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and

by substituting in Boltzmann and Poisson equations and by linearizing, one obtains: $\partial f(\vec{r}, \vec{r}, t) = \partial f(\vec{r}, \vec{r}, t)$

$$\frac{\partial f_1(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)}{\partial \vec{r}} - \vec{\nabla} \Phi_1(\vec{r}, t) \cdot \frac{\partial f_0(\vec{r}, \vec{v})}{\partial \vec{v}} - \vec{\nabla} \Phi_0(\vec{r}) \cdot \frac{\partial f_1(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0,$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \Phi_1(\vec{r},t) = 4\pi G \int f_1(\vec{r},\vec{v},t) d\vec{v}.$$



Since the equilibrium state is assumed to be homogeneous and time-independent, one can set $f_o(x,v,t) = f(v)$, and the so-called **Jeans "swindle" to set** $\Phi_o = o$

In Fourier components
$$-i\omega f_1 + \vec{v} \cdot (i\vec{k}f_1) - (i\vec{k}\Phi_1) \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0,$$

$$-k^2\Phi_1 = 4\pi G \int f_1 d\vec{v}.$$

By combining these equations, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$1 + \frac{4\pi G}{k^2} \int \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \vec{v}}}{\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{k} - \omega d\vec{v} = 0$$

In the case of stellar systems, by assuming a Maxwellian distribution function for f_o we have

$$f_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{(3/2)}} e^{-(v^2/2\sigma^2)}$$

$$1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}G\rho_0}{k\sigma^3} \int \frac{v_x e^{-(v_x^2/2\sigma^2)}}{kv_x - \omega} dv_x = 0.$$

By setting $\omega = 0$, the limit for instability is obtained: $k^2(\omega = 0) = \frac{4\pi G\rho_0}{\sigma^2} = k_J^2$, by which it is possible to define the Jeans mass (\mathcal{M}_J) as the mass originally contained. within a sphere of diameter λ_J : $M_J = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho_0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_J\right)^3$, $\mathcal{M}_J = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{G\rho_0}$ is the Jeans lengthand then we can write $M_J = \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{\frac{1}{G}}\left(\frac{\pi\sigma^2}{G}\right)^3$.





In order to evaluate the integral in the dispersion relation, we have to study the singularity at $\omega = k v_x$. To this end, it is 'useful to write the dispersion relation as

$$1 - \frac{k_J^2}{k^2} W(\beta) = 0,$$

defining
$$W(\beta) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \frac{xe^{-(x^2/2)}}{x-\beta} dx$$

Where $\beta = \frac{\omega}{k\sigma}$ and $x = \frac{v_x}{\sigma}$

$$\mathbf{X}$$



We set also $\omega = i\omega_I$ and $Re[W(\frac{\omega}{k\sigma})] = 0$ because we are interested. in the unstable modes

These modes appear when the imaginary part of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is greater than zero and in this case the integral in the dispersion relation can be resolved just with previous prescriptions.



In order to study unstable models we replace the following identities

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2 e^{-x^2}}{x^2 + \beta^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \pi \beta e^{\beta^2} [1 - \operatorname{erf} \beta]$$

$$\operatorname{erf} \beta(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$$

into the dispersion relation obtaining:

$$k^{2} = k_{J}^{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\omega_{I}}{\sqrt{2}k\sigma} e^{(\omega_{I}/\sqrt{2}k\sigma} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\omega_{I}}{\sqrt{2}k\sigma}\right) \right] \right\}.$$

This is the standard dispersion relation describing the criterion to collapsefor infinite homogeneous fluid and stellar systems

The Newtonían límít of f(R) - gravíty

Field equations in f(R)-gravity give rise to the modified Poisson equations. We know that

$$R^{(2)} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 g_{00}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 g_{ii}^{(2)}$$

Also we well konwn that $R^{(2)} \simeq \nabla^2 (\Phi - \Psi)$



 Ψ is the further gravitational potential related to the metric component $g^{(2)}_{ii}$

...and then the field equations assume this form $\nabla^2 \Phi + \nabla^2 \Psi - 2f''(0)\nabla^4 \Phi + 2f''(0)\nabla^4 \Psi = 2\chi\rho$

 $\nabla^2 \Phi - \nabla^2 \Psi + 3f''(0)\nabla^4 \Phi - 3f''(0)\nabla^4 \Psi = -\chi\rho.$

S. Capozzíello, M. De Laurentís Phys. Rep. 509, 167-321 (2011) S. Capozzíello, M. De Laurentís Ann. Phys. 524, 545 (2012)





Let us assume the standard collisionless Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\partial f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r) f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) - (\vec{\nabla} \Phi \cdot \vec{\nabla}_v) f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) = 0,$$

Where, according to the Newtonian theory, only the potentia Φ is <code><code><code>present</code></code></code>

Considering the $f(\mathbf{R})$ Poisson equations, also the potential Ψ has to be considered so we obtain the coupled equations

 $\nabla^2(\Phi + \Psi) - 2\alpha \nabla^4(\Phi - \Psi) = 16\pi G \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) d\vec{v}$ $\nabla^2(\Phi - \Psi) + 3\alpha \nabla^4(\Phi - \Psi) = -8\pi G \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) d\vec{v}.$





As in standard case, we consider small perturbation to the equilibrium and linearize the equations and in Fourier space so they became

$$-i\omega f_1 + \vec{v} \cdot (i\vec{k}f_1) - (i\vec{k}\Phi_1) \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0,$$

$$-k^2(\Phi_1 + \Psi_1) - 2\alpha k^4(\Phi_1 - \Psi_1) = 16\pi G \int f_1 d\vec{v},$$

$$k^{2}(\Phi_{1}-\Psi_{1})-3\alpha k^{4}(\Phi_{1}-\Psi_{1})=8\pi G\int f_{1}d\vec{v}.$$



Combining the above equations we obtain a relation between $\Phi_{_1}$ and $\Psi_{_1}$

$$\Psi_1 = \frac{3 - 4\alpha k^2}{1 - 4\alpha k^2} \Phi_1$$

And then the dispersion relation is

$$1 - 4\pi G \frac{1 - 4\alpha k^2}{3\alpha k^4 - k^2} \int \left(\frac{\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \vec{v}}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k} - \omega}\right) d\vec{v} = 0.$$

As in standard case, one can write

$$1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}G\rho_0}{\sigma^3} \frac{1 - 4\alpha k^2}{3\alpha k^4 - k^2} \left[\int \frac{kv_x e^{-(v_x^2/2\sigma^2)}}{kv_x - \omega} dv_x \right] = 0.$$

By eliminating the higher-order terms (imposing $\alpha = o$), we obtain again the standard dispersion



 \sum

In order to compute the integral in the dispersion relation , we consider the same approach used in the classical case, and finally we obtain:

$$1 + G_{\frac{1-4\alpha k^2}{3\alpha k^4 - k^2}} [1 - \sqrt{\pi} x e^{x^2} (1 - \operatorname{erf}[x])] = 0,$$

Where
$$x = \frac{\omega_I}{\sqrt{2}k\sigma}$$
 and $G = \frac{4G\pi\rho_0}{\sigma^2}$

To compare the modified and calssical dispersion relation we to normalize the equation to the classical Jeans length by fixing the parameter of f(R)- gravity, that is

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{k_j^2} = -\frac{\sigma^2}{4\pi G\rho_0}$$

This parameterization is correct because the dimension (an inverse of squared length) allows us to parametrize as in standard case



Finally we write and plot this relation

$$\frac{3k^4}{k_j^4} + \frac{k^2}{k_j^2} = \left(\frac{4k^2}{k_j^2} + 1\right) [1 - \sqrt{\pi}xe^{x^2}(1 - \operatorname{erf}[x])] = 0.$$



The bold line indicates the plot of the modified dispersion relation. The thin line indicates the plot of the standard dispersion equation



The Jeans mass límít ín f(R)-gravíty

A numerical estimation of the **f(R)** instability length in terms of the standard. Newtonian one can be achieved

By solving numerically the above equation with the condition $\omega = o$, we obtain that the collapse occurs for

$$k^2 = 1.2637 k_J^2$$

However we can estimate also analytically the limit for the instability In order to evaluate the Jeans mass limit in f(R)- gravity, we set $\omega = o$

$$3\sigma^2 \alpha k^4 - (16\pi G \rho_0 \alpha + \sigma^2) k^2 + 4\pi G \rho_0 = 0.$$

The additional condition $\alpha < 0$ discriminates the class of viable $f(\mathbf{R})$ models: in such a casewe obtain stable cosmological solution and positively defined massive states



The Jeans mass límít ín f(R)-gravíty

This $\alpha < 0$ condition selects the physically viable models allowing to solve the above equation for real values of k.

In particular, the above numerical solution can be recast as $k^2 = \frac{2}{3}(3 + \sqrt{21})\pi \frac{G\rho}{\sigma^2}$.

The relation to the Newtonian value of the Jeans instability is $k^2 = \frac{1}{6}(3 + \sqrt{21})k_J^2$.

Now, we can define the new Jeans mass as
$$\tilde{M}_J = 6 \sqrt{\frac{6}{(3+\sqrt{21})^3}} M_J$$

Which is proportional to the standard Newtonian value

We will confront this specific solutions with some observed structures.



The M_{η} – T relation



One can deal with the star formation problem in two ways:



 \star We can take into account the formation of individual stars and



We can discuss the formation of the whole star system starting from interstellar clouds

To answer these problems it is very important to study then interstellar medium (ISM) and its properties

The ISM physical conditions in the galaxies change in a very wide range, from hot X-ray emitting plasma to cold molecular gas, so it is very complicated to classify the ISM by its properties



The $M_{\eta} - T$ relation



However, we can dístínguísh, in the first approximation, between



Díffuse hydrogen clouds. The most powerful tool to measure the properties of these clouds is the 21 cm line emission of HI. They are cold clouds so the temperature is in the range 10 ÷ 50 K, and their extension is up to 50 ÷ 100 kpc from galactic center





Díffuse molecular clouds are generally self-gravitating, magnetized, turbulent fluids systems, observed in sub-mm. The most of the molecular gas is H_2 , and the rest is CO. Here, the conditions are very similar to the HI clouds but' in this case, the cloud can be more massive. They have, typically, masses in the range $3 \div 100 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, temperature in. $15 \div 50 \text{ K}$ and particle density in $(5 \div 50) \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-3}$.



The $M_{\eta} - T$ relation



Giant molecular clouds are very large complexes of particles (dust and gas), in which the range of the masses is typically $10^5 \div 10^6 M_{\odot}$ but they are very cold. The temperature is ≈ 15 K, and the number of particles is $(1 \div 3) \times 10^8$ m⁻³. However, there exist also small molecular clouds with masses $M < 10^4 M_{\odot}$. They are the best sites for star formation, despite the mechanism of formation does not recover the star formation rate that would be $250 M_{\odot}$ yr⁻¹







The $M_{\eta} - T$ relation





HII regions. They are ISM regions with temperatures in the range $10^3 \div 10^4$ K, emitting primarily in the radio and IR regions. At low frequencies, observations are associated to free-free electron transition (thermal. Bremsstrahlung). Their densities range from over a million particles per cm³ in the ultracompact H II regions to only a few particles per cm³ in the-largest and most extended regions. This implies total masses between 10^2 and 10^5 M_{\odot}



Bok globules are dark clouds of densecosmic dust and gas in which star formation sometimes takes place. Bok globules are found within H II regions, and typically have a mass of about 2 to 50 M_☉ contained within a region of about a light year.





The $M_{\eta} - T$ relation



Using very general conditions, we want to show the difference in the Jeans mass Value between standard and f(R)- gravity.

Let us take into account
$$M_J = \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{\pi \sigma^2}{G}\right)^3}$$
,

 \star in which ho_o is the ISM density and σ is the velocity dispersion of particles due to the temperature

These two quantities are defined as $\rho_0 = m_H n_H \mu$, and $\sigma^2 = \frac{k_B T}{m_H}$

Where $n_{\rm H}$ is the number of particles measured in m^{-3} , is the mean molecular Weight, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and $m_{\rm H}$ is the proton mass

By using these relations, we are able to compute the Jeans mass for interstellar clouds and to plot its behavior against the temperature



The $M_{J} - T$ relation



Any astrophysical system reported in Table is associated to a particular $(M_J - T)$ -region.

Subject	T (K)	n (10 ⁸ m ⁻³)	μ	$M_J~(M_\odot)$	$\tilde{M}_J~(M_\odot)$
Diffuse hydrogen clouds	50	5.0	1	795.13	559.68
Diffuse molecular clouds	30	50	2	82.63	58.16
Giant molecular clouds	15	1.0	2	206.58	145.41
Bok globules	10	100	2	11.24	7.91

Dífferences between the two theories for any self-gravitating system are clear



The M_{η} – T relation



Dashed-líne indicates the Newtonian Jeans mass behavior with respect to the temperature. Continue-line indicates the same for **f(R)**-gravity Jeans mass.





The $M_{\eta} - T$ relation



By referring to the catalog of molecular clouds in Roman-Duval et al., Astrophys. J. 723, 492 (2010), we have calculated the Jeans mass in. the Newtonian and **f(R)** cases.

In all cases we note a substantial difference between the classical and **f.R)** value.

Subject	ТК	n	$M_J (M_{\odot})$	$\tilde{M}_J (M_{\odot})$
		$(10^8 m^{-3})$	-	_
GRSMC G 053.59 + 00.04	5.97	1.48	18.25	12.85
GRSMC G 049.49 - 00.41	6.48	1.54	21.32	15.00
GRSMC G 018.89 - 00.51	6.61	1.58	22.65	15.94
GRSMC G 030.49 - 00.36	7.05	1.66	22.81	16.06
GRSMC G 035.14 - 00.76	7.11	1.89	28.88	20.33
GRSMC G 034.24 + 00.14	7.15	2.04	29.61	20.84
GRSMC G 019.94 - 00.81	7.17	2.43	29.80	20.98
GRSMC G 038.94 - 00.46	7.35	2.61	31.27	22.01
GRSMC G 053.14 + 00.04	7.78	2.67	32.06	22.56
GRSMC G 022.44 + 00.34	7.83	2.79	32.78	23.08
GRSMC G 049.39 - 00.26	7.90	2.81	35.64	25.09
GRSMC G 019.39 - 00.01	7.99	2.87	35.84	25.23
GRSMC G 034.74 - 00.66	8.27	3.04	36.94	26.00
GRSMC G 023.04 - 00.41	8.28	3.06	38.22	26.90
GRSMC G 018.69 - 00.06	8.30	3.62	40.34	28.40
GRSMC G 023.24 - 00.36	8.57	3.75	41.10	28.93
GRSMC G 019.89 - 00.56	8.64	3.87	41.82	29.44
GRSMC G 022.04 + 00.19	8.69	4.41	47.02	33.10
GRSMC G 018.89 - 00.66	8.79	4.46	47.73	33.60
GRSMC G 023.34 - 00.21	8.87	4.99	48.98	34.48
GRSMC G 034.99 + 00.34	8.90	5.74	50.44	35.50
GRSMC G 029.64 - 00.61	8.90	6.14	55.41	39.00
GRSMC G 018.94 - 00.26	9.16	6.16	55.64	39.16
GRSMC G 024.94 - 00.16	9.17	6.93	56.81	39.99
GRSMC G 025.19 - 00.26	9.72	7.11	58.21	40.97
GRSMC G 019.84 - 00.41	9.97	11.3	58.52	41.19

Díscussion and Conclusions

Here we have analyzed the Jeans instability mechanism, adopted for star formation, considering the Newtonian approximation of f(R) gravity

The related Boltzmann-Vlasov system leads to modified Poisson equations depending on the f(R) model

In particular, it is possible to get a new dispersion relation where instability criterion results modified

The leading parameter is α , i.e. the second derivative of the specific f(R) model. Standard Newtonian Jeans instability is immediately recovered. for $\alpha=0$ corresponding to the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian of GR.

A new condition for the gravitational instability is derived, showing runstable modes with faster growth rates.

Díscussion and Conclusions

Finally we can observe the instability decrease in f(R)- gravity: such decrease is related to a larger Jeans length and then to a lower Jeans mass

We have also compared the behavior with the temperature of the Jeans mass for various types of interstellar molecular clouds

In our model the limit (in unit of mass) to start the collapse of an interstellar cloud is lower than the classical one advantaging the structure formation.

Real solutions for the Jean mass can be achieved only for $\alpha < 0$ and this result is in agreement with cosmology



In particular, the condition $\alpha < 0$ is essentials to have a well formulated and well-posed Cauchy problem in f(R)- gravity

Finally, it is worth noticing that the Newtonian value is an upper limit for the Jean mass coinciding with $f(\mathbb{R}_{\cdot}) = \mathbb{R}$

Díscussion and Conclusions

It is important to stress that we fully recover the standard collapsemechanisms but we could also describe proto-stellar systems that escapethe standard collapse model

On the other hand, this is the first step to study star formation in alternativertheories of gravity

Next Steps

From an observational point of view, reliable constraints can be achieved from a careful analysis of the proto-stellar phase taking into account magnetic fields, turbulence and collisions

Addressing stellar systems by this approach could be an extremely important to test observationally f(R) gravity

Moreover, the approach developed in this work admits direct generalizations for other modified gravities, like nonlocal gravity, modified Gauss-Bonnet 'theory, string inspired gravity, etc.

 \star

Developing further this approach gives, in general, the possibility to confront the observable dynamics of astrophysical objects (like stars) with predictions of alternative gravities.